## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/659,997	LINTON, CARL E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JERRY-DARYL FLETCHER	3715		

	JERRY-DARYL FLETCHER	3/15		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed to the filed to t	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Amono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	01. Can attack ad Nation of Nan Can	liont Amoondmoont (	OTOL 204)	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		npliant Amendment (r	-10L-324).	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-45.		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
<ul> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considerated because:</li> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>		•		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
13. Other:	, 1 - (-)			
/XUAN M. THAI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are unpersuasive in overcoming the prior art of record. Specifically, the applicant argues that the prior art fails to teach the use of sensors for altering pressure or an on-board interface configured to initiate a session of cyclic variations. It is noted that Shusterman, at paragraphs 0076-0078 discusses the use of a "pressure sensor" for measuring the pressure in the chamber, and further that page 12 of the Applicant's remarks, on 03/03/2008 evidences that a on-board interface (mouse) was known in the applicant's admitted prior art. The remainder of the arguments hinge on these rationale and are similarly unpersuasive in overcoming the prior art. Regarding claim 24, it is noted that US 6,364,765 to Walker et al. (Walker) is provided as evidence that it is known to accept payment from a kiosk.